



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/720,842	11/24/2003	David Alan Oshinsky	4982/13A	3613
29858 75	9858 7590 04/10/2006		EXAMINER	
BROWN, RAYSMAN, MILLSTEIN, FELDER & STEINER LLP 900 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022			ALAM, SHAHID AL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2162	
			DATE MAIL ED. 04/10/2006	

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/720,842	OSHINSKY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
•		Shahid Al Alam	2162				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT OF THE MAILIN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 J	anuary 2006					
_		s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	·					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	◯ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -				
<i>,</i> —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
۵٫۱	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s have been received					
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·					
	application from the International Bureau	<u>-</u>	d in this National Stage				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list		ď				
	The man and a second and a list	2 derailed deplot flot fedelve	·				
Attacher = = +		e					
Attachment	``	· At [7]	(DTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Infom	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2162

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed on January 20, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons.
- 2. Applicants' argue that Mutalik does not teach claimed limitation as previously claimed and also does not in view of the amendments.

Mutalik's teaches of a file management system for backing up data stored on a mass storage subsystem in response to file management commands. The mass storage subsystem stores data on at least one storage device, said storage device including a series of blocks. The file management system comprises an operating system-independent file map, a file management command receiver module, and a file management command execution module. The operating system-independent file map stores information identifying, for each file, block information identifying blocks on which the file is stored. The file management command receiver module receives a file management command. The file management command execution module executes the file management command in relation to the operating system-independent file map. Mutalik's teachings anticipate applicant's claimed limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,842

Art Unit: 2162

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant amended limitation "a browsing application program" as recited in claims 1, 5 and 6. However, these limitations do not have any support through out specification.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitation "<u>a browsing application program</u>" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear as to the meaning of the claimed language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 – 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 6,161,111 issued to Madhav Mutalik et al. ("Mutalik").

With respect to claim 1, Mutalik teaches a data retrieval system comprising: a first computing device (host) communicatively coupled to a second computing device (server) (see Figure 1; column 3, lines 18 – 22);

the first computing device having a processor that supports operation of at least one software application that is used for retrieving data (see abstract; column 2, lines 47 - 54; column 3, lines 15 - 27, 40 - 42; column 4, lines 40 - 49 and column 9, lines 33 -45);

the second computing device communicatively coupled to one or more storage media (column 4, lines 1-5 and column 5, lines 31-65);

the software application having a retrieval module for retrieving data from the one or more storage media (column 9, lines 33 – 67) and an application program configured to display information relating to data to be retrieved (see Figure 1: Figure 1 teaches a computer system which capable of displaying data or view).

a storage and backup map that maps to the second computing device (column 8, lines 50 - 63); and

a data index stored on the second computing device that indicates to the retrieval module a particular location of the data that is to be retrieved by the retrieval module (column 8, lines 50 - column 9, line 10 and lines 33 - 67 and column 13, lines 1 - 19).

Art Unit: 2162

As to claims 2, 3 and 4, the software application interoperates with an interface module, with a backup retrieval module and a file system program (see Figure 1 & 5A).

Page 5

As to claims 5 and 6, the browsing application program is dynamic and changes to reflect information regarding data to be retrieved, may be modified to display information regarding data to be retrieved specified by a user (see Figure 1 & 5A).

As to claims 7, 8 and 9, a user may interact with browsing application program to request specific information regarding data to be retrieved, search for specific information regarding data to be retrieved and define a temporal range that specifies certain data to be retrieved (see Figure 1 & 5A).

As to claim 10, the browsing application program is on MS Window program (see Figure 1 & 5A).

As to claim 11, the MS Window program is Window Explorer (see Figure 1 & 5A).

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2162

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shahid Al Alam whose telephone number is (571) 272-4030. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00 A.M.- 4:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shahid Al Alam Primary Examiner Art Unit 2162